

Marine biodiversity: past and present concerns

Carlo **Heip**, Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology
POB 140 4400 AC Yerseke The Netherlands
e-mail: c.heip@nioo.knaw.nl, tel. +31 113 577 445

SUMMARY

Serious scientific efforts in marine biodiversity lagged for many years behind terrestrial research because the seas were considered better buffered against human influence than the land. Only in coastal areas, impacts from pollution, eutrophication and overfishing were considered serious threats, but even there loss of biodiversity was perceived to be low or non-existing. Therefore an adequate conceptual framework for marine biodiversity research is still lacking in several domains.

In recent years it has become clear that there are several, often large-scale changes occurring in biodiversity, even in the open ocean. Some of the most striking changes are due to direct human impact through the fisheries or the passive and active transport of organisms. In addition, there are a number of well documented examples of large scale changes in distribution of species and communities which may be linked to climate change. To observe and quantify these changes, adequate observation systems designed for tracking long-term and large-scale changes in biodiversity are still to be developed, despite several recent actions at the European scale.

The governance of the open ocean requires international collaboration that should expand beyond the fisheries and pollution problems and include the protection of the earth's largest habitats.

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge on marine biodiversity is scarce and even non-existent for large parts of the world's coasts and especially the open ocean and the deep sea. Marine biodiversity is mainly under water and therefore invisible and out of reach for direct, cheap observation methods or for amateur observers. Marine biodiversity research is nearly always expensive and this has limited the number of active scientists to perhaps a few thousand professionals in the world. Funding for marine biodiversity also suffers from lack of political interest. Only a small part even of the coastal areas can be covered by national legislation and therefore is of interest to local or regional politicians. The governance of biodiversity in the open oceans is still in its infancy and will require strong international collaboration if it is to fulfil the goal of halting biodiversity loss somewhere in the near future.

TRADITIONAL CONCERNS

Effects of human impact on marine biodiversity have been researched since more than two decades and are well documented. In general five important categories of human impacts are distinguished:

- Overexploitation of resources
- Introduction of exotic species

- Pollution and eutrophication
- Physical alteration of the sea floor and the coastline
- Global climate change

The impacts of these categories and their combinations are relatively well known. They can lead to species extinction locally but global loss of species is still rare in the marine environment. However, although the number of well documented cases of extinction is low, it has also clearly been established that a large number of marine species have undergone severe loss in numbers worldwide, especially marine top predators and grazers, such as sharks and rays, pelagic and benthic large fish species (tuna, cod), marine turtles and some birds and marine mammals (dolphins, whales, seals). Besides species numbers changing due to direct human exploitation, marine habitats are increasingly impacted and disappear locally with the species that inhabit them. These include shallow water habitats, such as intertidal rocky shore areas and sandy beaches, mangroves in the tropics, shallow subtidal seagrass meadows, sediments in heavily trawled areas and shallow water corals and reefs in general, and increasingly deep water habitats such as seamounts and deep coldwater coral reefs as well.

Besides direct exploitation, a number of other human induced changes have occurred as well: changes in climate and water circulation, human constructions removing or creating physical barriers (canals, dams), maritime and air transport and drifting objects (plastics, nets,...) and deliberate releases of organisms by humans. It is not always clear what the effects of such indirect impacts are, but changing communities and food webs have been documented over the last decades, especially where their change have provided a threat to human exploitation of marine systems. These include changes in dominance in systems from shellfish to worms, reported from long-term time series of shallow marine benthos as in the Waddensea, from fish to jellyfish as in the Adriatic and Black Sea, and increasingly from macro- to microbes, as in the now over a hundred so-called dead zones where anaerobic conditions prevent animals from begin present.

GOODS AND SERVICES

The changes described above are bound to change the goods delivered by marine ecosystems, including food from fisheries and aquaculture (half the protein of the Philippines and Japan), chemical products (pharmaceuticals, anti-cancer drugs, anti-fouling agents,...), coastal protection (reefs, mangroves, salt marshes) and recreation (tourism being the most important global employer with G€ industries depending on healthy and diverse marine ecosystems). Services provided by marine ecosystems include primary production, carbon sequestration, oxydation and mineralization and detoxification of waste.

Marine organisms play crucial roles in many biogeochemical processes that sustain the biosphere. The rate and efficiency of any of the processes that marine organisms mediate, as well as the range of goods and services that they provide, are determined by interactions between organisms, and between organisms and their environment, and therefore by biodiversity. The effect of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning has become a major focus in ecology. The insurance hypothesis is a fundamental principle for understanding the long-term effects of biodiversity on ecosystem processes. High biodiversity insures ecosystems against decline in functioning because the more species an ecosystem carries, the greater the guarantee that some species will maintain functioning in the absence of others. The strength of this insurance, and the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in general have not been quantified in the marine realm yet.

PRESENT CONCERNS

We are at present unable to predict the consequences of changing ecosystem functioning as well as the loss of biodiversity resulting from environmental change in ecological, economic or societal terms. Most ecological theories are based on experience from terrestrial ecosystems but marine biodiversity does not necessarily comply with terrestrial paradigms. Our understanding of the role and regulation of marine biodiversity lags far behind that of terrestrial biodiversity, to such an extent that we do not have enough scientific information to underpin management issues such as conservation and the sustainable use of marine resources. There is no way yet to include marine biodiversity adequately into general ecosystem models and the views of marine ecosystems as being either regulated top down (fisheries) or bottom up (biogeochemistry) are still widely different and have not been reconciled. The present objective of managing fisheries in an ecosystem context will have to find ways to bridge this gap.

One of the major present concerns in marine biodiversity research is that quantification and valorisation of goods and especially services from marine biodiversity is not easy, and perhaps not a fruitful research objective for the future. Most goods are provided by macro-organisms whereas most of the functioning of marine systems, and therefore the services, is dominated by microbes. Microbes are still extremely poorly known and traditional ecology and even more fisheries ecology only deals with a very restricted part of marine biodiversity.

Conservation of marine biodiversity will require an important outreach effort from the scientific community and willingness from politicians to develop the national, EU and international agreements and conventions on which it should be based. This will not happen unless the general public gets some feel about marine biodiversity, its importance for the global ecology of the planet but also its uniqueness and beauty, and understands that neither coastal areas nor the open oceans are resistant to human impacts and that therefore a serious risk exists that some of the most valued species and habitats will disappear from the globe if nothing is done.

CONCLUSIONS

- Marine biodiversity is very unlike terrestrial biodiversity: a strange and beautiful world with many creatures that have nothing in common with what we know from our day to day experience as terrestrial mammals.
- Many marine populations and habitats have a wide distribution and marine extinction may be rare and therefore not an item of concern.
- Still, marine biodiversity is changing rapidly in coastal regions and the open oceans alike. Much of that change is anthropogenic (fisheries, climate) and may be irreversible, because we can do nothing about it. The consequences of such changes are difficult to evaluate and their observation requires new systems and networks.
- There is no general theoretical framework for marine biodiversity yet and no adequate ecological modelling approach.
- Large areas of the open ocean are not under national jurisdiction or in fact any jurisdiction. The seas are free for all to use.
- Marine biodiversity science to address the problems adequately needs to have access to and communication with governments and international institutions (EU,EEA, CBD,...) to have effect on policies in such areas at the required scales.
- Marine biodiversity science needs to be multidisciplinary and internationally organized to facilitate the interactions: MARS, ICES, CIESM, EU Networks of

Excellence such as MarBEF (Marine Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning) and Euroceans.

- Efforts from the scientific community are required to make the general public understand what is at stake.